

Biset functors for categories

Peter Webb

University of Minnesota

UNAM Morelia, June 2023

Outline:

This material is taken from arXiv:2304.06863

Unit 5: Monoidal structures; fibered biset functors

Monoidal structure on the biset category \mathbb{B}

Internal tensor product: the direct product of categories $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$

Unit: the category $\mathbf{1}$

Contravariant equivalence: $\tau : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ given by $\tau(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$.

A $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ -biset ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the same thing as a $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}$ -set, also a $\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \times (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}$ -set. This gives ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}$ the structure of a $(\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})$ -biset ${}_{\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}}\Omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}$.

Dual objects

For each category \mathcal{K} the $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K})$ -biset ${}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}}$ -set also a counit $(\mathbf{1}, \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{K})$ -biset ${}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{K}}$ and a unit $(\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{1})$ -biset ${}_{\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}}}\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{1}}$.

From these we construct a $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{K})$ -biset

$${}_{\mathcal{K}}\Omega_{\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{K}} = {}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{K}} \times {}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{K}}$$

and also a $(\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K})$ -biset

$${}_{\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{K}}\Psi_{\mathcal{K}} = {}_{\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}}}\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{1}} \times {}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{K}},$$

so that if r, s, x, z are objects of \mathcal{K} and y is an object of \mathcal{K}^{op} we have

$$\Omega(r, (x, y, z)) = \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid \alpha : x \rightarrow r, \beta : z \rightarrow y\}$$

and

$$\Psi((x, y, z), s) = \{(\gamma, \delta) \mid \gamma : y \rightarrow x, \delta : s \rightarrow z\}.$$

Lemma

With these definitions

$$\Omega \circ \Psi \cong_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

Exchanging the roles of \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{K}^{op} we obtain similar bisets Ω' and Ψ' with $\Omega' \circ \Psi' \cong_{\mathcal{K}^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{K}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{op}}$.

Proof.

The definition of the biset product at a pair of objects (r, s) is

$$(\Omega \circ \Psi)(r, s) = \bigsqcup_{(x,y,z) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{K}} \Omega(r, (x, y, z)) \times \Psi((x, y, z), s) / \sim$$

We define a morphism of bisets $\Omega \circ \Psi(r, s) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}(r, s)$ by $((\alpha, \beta), (\gamma, \delta)) \mapsto \alpha\gamma\beta\delta$. This specification is constant on equivalence classes because if (ζ, θ, ψ) is a triple of morphisms in $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{K}$, with suitable domains and codomains, then the pairs

$$((\alpha, \beta)(\zeta, \theta, \psi), (\gamma, \delta)) = ((\alpha\zeta, \theta\beta\psi), (\gamma, \delta))$$

and

$$((\alpha, \beta), (\zeta, \theta, \psi)(\gamma, \delta)) = ((\alpha, \beta), (\zeta\gamma\theta, \psi\delta))$$

both map to the same morphism in $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}$, namely $\alpha\zeta\gamma\theta\beta\psi\delta$. This means we have defined a morphism of bisets. In the opposite direction we have an inverse map $\Omega \circ \Psi \leftarrow \mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}$ given at (r, s) by $\nu \mapsto ((1_r, 1_r), (1_r, \nu))$. □

Corollary

1. *Each category \mathcal{K} has a dual object \mathcal{K}^{op} , in the sense of monoidal categories.*
2. *For any category \mathcal{K} and biset functor M , the value $M(\mathcal{K})$ is a direct summand of $M(\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{K})$.*

Yoneda-Dress construction

If M is a biset functor and \mathcal{C} a category then

$$P_{\mathcal{K}}(M)(\mathcal{C}) = M(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{K}),$$

$$P_{\mathcal{K}}^{\text{op}}(M)(\mathcal{C}) = M(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}}).$$

These are the *Yoneda-Dress construction* of M at \mathcal{K} and the *opposite Yoneda-Dress construction* of M at \mathcal{K} .

Definition

For each category \mathcal{D} let $F_{\mathcal{D}}$ denote the *representable biset functor* at \mathcal{D} , so $F_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}) = A(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is the R -linear span of the indecomposable $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ -bisets. In particular $F_{\mathbf{1}} = B$ is the Burnside ring functor.

Proposition

We have isomorphisms

$$P_{\mathcal{K}} F_{\mathcal{D}} \cong F_{\mathcal{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D}} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\mathcal{K}}^{\text{op}} F_{\mathcal{D}} \cong F_{\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{D}}$$

as biset functors. As a particular case, $F_{\mathcal{D}} \cong P_{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{op}} B$.

Proof.

For any category \mathcal{C} we have

$$(P_{\mathcal{K}}F_{\mathcal{D}})(\mathcal{C}) \cong F_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{K}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{K}),$$

using Yoneda's lemma. This is the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}$ -sets, which is also a description of $F_{\mathcal{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{C})$. The remaining statements follow. □

Proposition

For each category \mathcal{K} , the functor $P_{\mathcal{K}}^{\text{op}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is both left and right adjoint to $P_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Definition

Let M and N be biset functors. We define the internal Hom of M and N to be

$$\mathcal{H}(M, N) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(M, P_{\bullet}(N)),$$

using the functoriality of the Yoneda-Dress construction in its subscript to make \mathcal{H} a biset functor.

Proposition

We have $\mathcal{H}(M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{P}_{\bullet}^{\text{op}}(M), N)$ as biset functors.

Proof.

Prove it first when $M = F_{\mathcal{D}}$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}(M, N)(\mathcal{C}) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(F_{\mathcal{D}}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(N)) \\ &\cong (\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(N))(\mathcal{D}) \\ &\cong N(\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{C}).\end{aligned}$$

using Yoneda's Lemma.

It follows that the result is true whenever M is any projective biset functor, because it is a summand of a direct sum of representable functors.

Deduce the result for arbitrary M using a projective presentation of M and left exactness of \mathcal{H} . □

Definition

We define the *internal tensor product* of (left) biset functors L and M to be the biset functor

$$L \otimes M := (P_{\bullet}(L) \circ \tau) \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} M$$

where the functoriality on \mathbb{B} is obtained via the subscript \bullet . Thus the value of $L \otimes M$ at a category \mathcal{C} is

$$(L \otimes M)(\mathcal{C}) = (P_{\mathcal{C}}(L) \circ \tau) \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} M = L(\tau(-) \times \mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathcal{F}} M(-).$$

Theorem

For all biset functors L, M and N we have

1. $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(L \otimes M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(M, \mathcal{H}(L, N))$, and
2. $\mathcal{H}(L \otimes M, N) \cong \mathcal{H}(M, \mathcal{H}(L, N))$.

Corollary

With the product operation \otimes and unit B the category of biset functors \mathcal{F} is a symmetric monoidal tensor category. Furthermore, if P and Q are projective biset functors then $P \otimes Q$ and $\mathcal{H}(P, Q)$ are also projective.